

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,647	12/16/2003	Hyun Kee Lee	2336-231	3538
7590 06/14/2006			EXAMINER	
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP			HUGHES, JAMES P	
Suite 310				
1700 Diagonal	Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA	A 22314		2883	

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ŧ.			γ(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
1	10/735,647	LEE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James P. Hughes	2883	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the provision of the prov	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repn. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Ily be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	16 December 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	•	• •	
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyand prection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	). •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been retreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (FTO-692)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/735,647

Art Unit: 2883

 $e^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ 

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-7 and 14-17, drawn to a multi-channel variable optical attenuator, classified in class 385, subclass 140.
  - II. Claims 8-13, drawn to a method of manufacturing a variable optical attenuator, classified in class 385, subclass 14.

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the attenuator could be made via numerous alternative methods, such as an injection molded process.

- 2. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. A telephone call was made to Ben Hauptman on Friday June 2, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,647 Page 3

Art Unit: 2883

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/735,647

Art Unit: 2883

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James P. Hughes whose telephone number is 571-272-2474. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 571-272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

James P. Hughes Patent Examiner Art Unit 2883

74

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner Sechnology Center 2800

Frank Il Fort

Page 4